

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,759	06/12/2000	Anjana Agarwal	Agarwal 10-5-7-16	4750
7590 03/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP			PEESO, THOMAS R	
1100 Superior Avenue Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH	44114-2518		2132	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\mu$				
	09/591,759	AGARWAL ET AL.	/				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	Thomas R. Peeso	2132					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> *						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,	<del>/</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 10-28 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9, 29-31, 33</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8, 32</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 June 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a bassa ba						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	* *						
application from the International Bureau	·	ou in uno ivadonal otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		∍d.					
	·						
Attachment(s)	🗖 .	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 💹 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	6) U Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 09/591,759

Art Unit: 2132

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,506,887 to Emery et al. in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 1 and 29, Emery et al. disclosure performing an authentication procedure (see at least the abstract). The examiner takes official notice that it is well known to deny the mobile unit access to the network and provide an announcement to the mobile unit since these features comprise well known network architecture.

As per claims 2-5, 30, the examiner takes official notice for routing the call to a customer service center, reprogramming the mobile unit with correct data, transmitting a message requesting the authentication procedure, receiving a response message containing authentication information and the authentication information is a random number.

Claims 6, 7, 9, 31, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emery et al. as applied to claims 1-5 and 29 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,890,075 to Cyr et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/591,759

Art Unit: 2132

As per claim 6, Cyr et al. disclose calculating a first shared secret data by the mobile unit, calculating a second shared secret data by a center, and comparing the first shared secret data and the second shared secret data to determine the authentication failure.

As per claims 7, 9, 31 and 33, Cyr et al. disclose transmitting an authentication status report result, the authentication status report return result including a deny access parameter and an announcement list parameter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-28 are allowed.

Claims 8 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 703 305-9784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur, 7:00 to 4:30 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 703 305-1830. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746-7239 for official

Art Unit: 2132

communications, 703 746-7240 for unofficial communications and 703 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-3900.

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner Art Unit 2132

\*\*\*

March 24, 2004